REACTIONS OF METAL CARBONYL DERIVATIVES VII*. REACTIONS OF CHLORO- AND IODODICARBONYL-π-CYCLO-PENTADIENYLIRON WITH SOME TERTIARY PHOSPHINES AND PHOSPHITES**

R. J. HAINES***

Research and Process Development, South African Iron and Steel Industrial Corporation Limited, P.O. Box 450, Pretoria (Republic of South Africa)

A. L. DU PREEZ AND I. L. MARAIS

Department of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, University of Pretoria, Pretoria (Republic of South Africa)

(Received December 1st, 1970)

SUMMARY

The tertiary alkyl phosphites P(OR)₃ (R=CH₃, C₂H₅, n-C₄H₉ and C₃H₅) react with π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl in benzene to give in addition to neutral π -C₅H₅-Fe(CO)P(OR)₃Cl and ionic [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂P(OR)₃]Cl complexes, neutral phosphonate derivatives of formula π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OR)₂] and π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)-[P(OR)₃][P(O)(OR)₂]. The corresponding reactions involving the tertiary phosphonite P(OC₃H₅)₂C₆H₅ and the tertiary phosphinite P(OC₃H₅)(C₆H₅)₂ yield similar type products. In contrast compounds of the type [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OR)₃]I are the sole products from the reactions of P(OR)₃ (R = CH₃ and C₂H₅) with π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂I. The formation of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OR)₂] is shown to occur via [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂F(CO)₂P(OR)₃]Cl as intermediate and to involve a Michaelis–Arbuzov rearrangement. The reactions of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂X (X = Cl and I) with the tertiary phosphines PR₃ (R = C₂H₅ and C₄H₉) are also described.

INTRODUCTION

Previous studies have demonstrated that the action of tertiary phosphines PR₃ (R=alkyl and aryl) on π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂X (X=Cl, Br and I) results in either the replacement of a carbonyl group or the displacement of the halogen to afford compounds of the type π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)PR₃X or of the type $[\pi$ -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂PR₃]X respectively³⁻⁸. The tendency for halogen displacement in preference to carbonyl replacement has been established to increase with increasing basicity of the ligand and also along the series I < Br < Cl^{7,9}. Products formed as a result of both modes of reaction viz. $[\pi$ -C₅H₅Fe(CO)L₂] Anion (L=ligand) have been reported but their syntheses required the presence of a strong Lewis acid *e.g.* AlBr₃⁷.

In contrast most reports which describe reactions involving π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂X (X = halogen) and tertiary phosphites P(OR)₃ (R = alkyl or aryl) refer to the formation of products resulting from carbonyl replacement only. Apart from a reference to an

*** To whom correspondence concerning this publication should be addressed.

^{*} For Part VI, see ref. 1.

^{**} A preliminary communication of some of this work has been presented².

ionic product formed in the reaction of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂X with P(OC₆H₅)₃ and for which no analytical and physical data were given¹⁰, no mention of products of the type [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂P(OR)₃]X being produced in these reactions has been made. For instance the reactions¹⁰ of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂X (X = Br and I) with P(OC₆H₅)₃ in benzene under reflux have been observed to afford π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OC₆H₅)₃X in yields greater than 90% while irradiation¹¹ of a refluxing benzene solution of π -C₅H₅-Fe(CO)₂I and excess P(OC₆H₅)₃ with ultraviolet light has been shown to give π -C₅H₅Fe[P(OC₆H₅)₃]₂I. Further the results of a kinetic study of the reactions of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂I with the tertiary alkyl phosphites P(OC₂H₅)₃ and P(OC₆H₁₃)₃ as well as those for the reactions of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂X (X = Br and I) with P(OC₆H₅)₃ in solution are consistent with the formation of products of the type π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OR)₃X by a dissociative mechanism¹⁰.

It is now found that the reactions of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl with tertiary phosphites, phosphonites and phosphinites afford a new type of product which is formed as a result of the degradation of the ligand.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Treatment of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂I with a slight excess of the tertiary phosphites $P(OR)_3$ (R=CH₃, C₂H₅ and C₆H₅) in benzene under reflux was observed to give neutral products characterised as π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OR)₃I in excellent yield*. Similarly π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OC₆H₅)₃Cl was isolated in good yield from the corresponding room temperature reaction involving $P(OC_6H_5)_3$ although a small amount of $[\pi-C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2P(OC_6H_5)_3]Cl$ was also formed in this reaction; this latter complex was characterised as the tetraphenylborate. In contrast π -C₅H₅-Fe(CO)P(OR)₃Cl was found to be a minor product (yield ca. 20%) in the reaction of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl with the tertiary alkyl phosphites P(OR)₃ (R=CH₃, C₂H₅, $n-C_4H_9$ and C_3H_5) in benzene at room temperature. These latter reactions afforded as major product a halogen-free derivative shown to be π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)- $(OR)_2$]. Small amounts of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(OR)_3][P(O)(OR)_2] were also formed in these reactions. The yield of the latter was increased by increasing the ligand to parent compound ratio, by performing the reaction in refluxing benzene and by extending the reaction period. However, in spite of these variations in the reaction conditions, π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OR)₂] was still the major product. π -C₅H₅Fe- $(CO)_2[P(O)(OR)_2]$ and π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(OR)_3][P(O)(OR)_2] were readily separated from π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OR)₃Cl by means of column chromatography but separation of the two phosphonate derivatives was not always successful. Thus while π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OR)₂] (R=C₂H₅ and C₃H₅) and π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(OC₃- H_{5}_{3} [P(O)(OC₃H₅)₂] were characterised by elemental analysis and molecular weight measurements, π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OR')₂] (R'=CH₃ and C₄H₉) and π - $C_5H_5Fe(CO)[P(OR'')_3][P(O)(OR'')_2]$ ($R''=CH_3$, C_2H_5 and C_4H_9) were identified by means of infrared spectroscopy only. Apart from π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OCH₃)₃Cl, the complexes π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OR)₃Cl (R=C₂H₅, n-C₄H₉ and C₄H₅) were also iden-

^{*} The syntheses, isolation and characterisation of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OCH₃)₃X (X = Br and I) have recently been reported in a publication which appeared at the same time as the preliminary communication of the results presented in this paper¹².

J. Organometal. Chem., 28 (1971) 405-413

tified by means of infrared only. Confirmatory evidence for the formulation π -C₅H₅-Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OR)₂] was obtained by studying the reaction of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl with NaOP(OC₂H₅)₂ in THF. The product isolated from this reaction *viz*. π -C₅H₅-Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OC₂H₅)₂] was identical to the unsubstituted phosphonate obtained from the reaction of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl with P(OC₂H₅)₃.

By monitoring the reactions of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl with the above tertiary alkylphosphites in benzene at room temperature by means of infrared it was observed that a species, identified as $[\pi$ -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂P(OR)₃]⁺, is initially formed and that this species is an intermediate in the formation of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OR)₂]. The intermediates in the reactions involving P(OCH₃)₃ and P(OC₃H₅)₃ were isolated by performing the reactions in the presence of NaB(C₆H₅)₄ and a little methanol to ensure dissolution of the latter. The compounds which separated from solution were characterised as $[\pi$ -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂P(OR)₃]B(C₆H₅)₄ (R = CH₃ and C₃H₅) and were shown to be 1/1 electrolytes in acetone.

The tertiary phosphonite $P(OC_3H_5)_2(C_6H_5)$ and the phosphinite $P(OC_3H_5)_2$ $(C_6H_5)_2$ were observed to behave in an analogous manner to tertiary alkyl phosphites on reaction with π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl and to afford similar type products. Thus the reaction of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl with excess P(OC₃H₅)(C₆H₅)₂ in benzene under reflux afforded as well as a low yield of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OC₃H₅)(C₆H₅)₂Cl, the products π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(C₆H₅)₂] and π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(OC₃H₅)(C₆H₅)₂]- $[P(O)(C_6H_5)_2]$. Similarly π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(OC₃H₅)₂(C₆H₅)Cl, π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂- $[P(O)(OC_3H_5)(C_6H_5)]$ and π -C₅H₅Fe(CO) $[P(OC_3H_5)_2(C_6H_5)][P=(O)(OC_3H_5) (C_6H_5)$] were isolated from the corresponding reaction involving P(OC₃H₅)₂(C₆H₅). Further $[\pi - C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2L]B(C_6H_5)_4$ $[L = P(OC_3H_5)_2(C_6H_5)$ and $P((OC_3H_5) (C_6H_5)_2$ were also isolated from the reactions of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl with these ligands under the appropriate experimental conditions. The compounds $[\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2 L]$ - $B(C_6H_5)_4 [L = P(OC_3H_5)_2(C_6H_5) \text{ and } P(OC_3H_5)(C_6H_5)_2], \pi - C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2L' [L' = P(OC_3H_5)_2(C_6H_5)_2]$ $P(O)(OC_3H_5)(C_6H_5)$ and $P(O)(C_6H_5)_2$ and $\pi - C_5H_5Fe(CO)L''L''' [L'' = P(OC_3H_5)_2$ $(C_6H_5), L''' = P(O)(OC_3H_5)(C_6H_5); L'' = P(OC_3H_5)(C_6H_5)_2, L''' = P(O)(C_6H_5)_2]$ were characterised by elemental analysis and molecular weight measurements while in view of their instability π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)L'''Cl $[L'''] = P(OC_3H_5)_2(C_5H_5)$ and $P(OC_3H_5)(C_6H_5)_2$ were identified by means of infrared only.

Treatment of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl with the tertiary phosphines PR₃ (R=C₂H₅ and C₄H₉) in benzene at room temperature was observed to effect the displacement of the halogen and to afford [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂PR₃]Cl, which was isolated as the tetraphenylborate, in high yield. A small amount of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)PR₃Cl was also formed as established by monitoring the reaction with infrared. The corresponding reaction involving π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂I and P(C₂H₅)₃ similarly afforded primarily [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂P(C₂H₅)₃]I (yield >80%). However π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(C₂H₅)₃I was isolated in a yield of *ca.* 30% by reacting these latter compounds in benzene under reflux for 30 minutes. Further π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(C₄H₉)₃I was obtained almost quantitatively from the reaction of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂I with P(C₄H₉)₃ under similar experimental conditions. It is thus suggested that [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂PR₃]I (R= alkyl group) is an intermediate in the formation of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)PR₃I.

The infrared spectra of the various compounds synthesised in this study are summarised in Table 2. Strong peaks in the spectra of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂L' and π -C₅H₅Fe(CO) (Ligand)L' (L'=phosphonate, phosphinate or phosphine oxide ligand)

Compound	Conductivity ^b (Ohm ⁻¹ .cm ² .mol ⁻¹)	Analy	Analyses (%)	e)				Mol wt. ^{c.d}	c,d
		Found	p		Calcd.			Found	Found Calcd.
		U	Н	Others	0	H	Others	ī	
<i>π</i> -C ₃ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OCH ₃) ₃ Cl	2.0	35.3	4.8	11.4 (CI)	35.0	4.6	11.5 (CI)	306	308
<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OC ₆ H ₅) ₃ Cl	3.3	57.9	4.1	6.9 (CI)	58.3	4.1	7.2 (CI)	N.m.	
<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(C ₂ H ₅) ₃ I	6.2	36.5	5.2	-	36.6	5.1	-	N.m.	
. <i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(C ₄ H ₉) ₃ I	4.4	44.9	6.8		45.1	6.9		N.m.	
<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OCH ₃) ₃ I	1.5	27.0	3.5	31.9 (I)	27.0	3.5	31.7 (I)	N.m.	
<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OC ₂ H ₅) ₃ I	1.9	33,0	4.6	:	32.6	4.6		N.B.Z	
<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OC ₆ H ₅) ₃ I	2.6	49.4	3.4	21.8 (1)	49.2	3.4	21.7 (1)	N.m.	
[<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(C ₂ H ₅) ₃]B(C ₆ H ₅) ₄	92	72.4	6.6		72.3	6,6			
$[\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2 P(C_4 H_5)_3] B(C_6 H_5)_4$	89	73.9	7.6	7.8 (Fe)	73.9	7.5	8.0 (Fe)		
[<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(OCH ₃) ₃]B(C ₆ H ₅) ₄	98	66.0	5.4	4.9 (P)	65.8	5.5	5.0 (P)		
[<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₃]B(C ₆ H ₅) ₄	93	69.0	5.6	4.3 (P)	68.8	5.8	4.4 (P)		
[<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(OC ₆ H ₅) ₃]B(C ₆ H ₅) ₄	90	72.7	5.0		73.0	5.0			
[π-C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₅)]B(C ₆ H ₅) ₄	92	71.8	5.7	4.2 (P)	71.9	5.6	4.3 (P)		
[π-C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(OC ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅) ₂]B(C ₆ H ₅) ₄	89	75.1	5.7	4.1 (P)	74.8	5,5	4.2 (P)		•
<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OC ₂ H ₅) ₂]	0.1	42.0	4,8	9.5 (P)	42.1	4.8	9.9 (P)	312	314
<i>π</i> -C ₃ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OC ₃ H ₃) ₂] ^e	0.1	45.5	4.5		46.2	4.5	•	359	338
<i>π</i> -C,H,Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OC,H,)(C ₆ H,)] ^e	0.3	53.9	4.5		53.7	4.2		379	358
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(C ₆ H ₅) ₂]	0.2	60.7	4.2	8.1 (P)	60.4	4.0	8.2 (P)	399	378
<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₃][P(O)(OC ₃ H ₅) ₂] ^e	0.1	49.0	5.8	11.8 (P)	49.2	5.9	12.1 (P)	501	512
<i>n</i> -C ₃ H ₃ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₃ H ₃) ₂ (C ₆ H ₅)][P(O)(OC ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅)] ^e	0.1	58.6	5.3	•	58.7	5.5		537	552
<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅) ₂][P(O)(C ₆ H ₅) ₂]	2.6	66.8	5.1	10.3 (P)	66,9	5.1	10.5 (P)	558	592

R. J. HAINES, A. L. DU PREEZ, I. L. MARAIS

408

J. Organometal. Chem., 28 (1971) 405-413

TABLE 1

PHOSPHONATE AND RELATED DERIVATIVES OF IRON

TABLE 2

INFRARED AND	PROTON	MAGNETIC	RESONANCE	SPECTROSCOPIC	DATA

Compound	Stretching frequen	ncies (cm ⁻¹) ^a	Resona	nces ^{c,d,f}
	ν(C-O)	v (P-O) ^b	C ₅ H ₅	J(P-H) (Hz)
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OCH ₃) ₃ Cl	1980 ms 1970 s ^e	······································	5.24 đ	~1
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OC ₆ H ₅) ₃ Cl	1986 ^g		5.75 s	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(C ₂ H ₅) ₃ I	1944″		5.29 s	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(C ₄ H ₉) ₃ I	1953e		5.42 d	1.4
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OCH ₃) ₃ I	1981 ms 1968 s ^e		5.28 d	1.0
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OC ₂ H ₅) ₃ I	1972 ms 1964 s ^e		5.30 s	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OC ₆ H ₅) ₃ I	1986°		5.80 s	
$[\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2 P(C_2 H_5)_3] B(C_6 H_5)_4$	2051 s 2008 s ^g		4.16 ^h d	1.6
$[\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2 P(C_4 H_9)_3] B(C_6 H_5)_4$	2051 s 2008 s ^g		5.81 d	1.4
$[\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2 P(OCH_3)_3]B(C_6 H_5)_4$	2073 s 2032 s ^g		4.36 ⁱ d	1.1
$[\pi-C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2P(OC_3H_5)_3]B(C_6H_5)_4$	2071 s 2030 s ^ø		4.81 ⁱ d	1.2
$[\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2 P(OC_6 H_5)_3] B(C_6 H_5)_4$	2075 s 2034 s ^e		5.99 s	
$\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_7 P(OC_3 H_5)_7 (C_6 H_5) B(C_6 H_5)_4$	2067 s 2028 s ^a		4.88 ⁱ d	1.3
$[\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO), P(OC_5 H_5)(C_6 H_5), B(C_6 H_5)]_4$	2063 s 2023 s ^g		4.62 ⁱ d	1.2
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OCH ₃) ₂]	2043 s 1989 s ^g	N.m.	N.m.	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OC ₂ H ₅) ₂]	2045 s 1993 s ^e	1180	4.91 s	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OC ₄ H ₉) ₂]	2039 s 1988 s ^ø	N.m.	N.m.	
π -C _s H _s Fe(CO), P(O)(OC _s H _s),	2044 s 1994 s ^e	1179	4.90 s	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OC ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅)]	2039 s 1986 se	1167	5.09 s	
π -C _s H _s Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(C _s H _s) ₂]	2031 s 1975 se	1126	5.06 s	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OCH ₃) ₃][P(O)(OCH ₃) ₂]	1964 ^g	N.m.	N.m.	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₂ H ₅) ₃][P(O)(OC ₂ H ₅) ₂]	1966°	N.m.	N.m.	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₄ H ₉) ₃][P(O)(OC ₄ H ₉) ₂]	ca. 1961 ⁹	N.m.	N.m.	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₃][P(O)(OC ₃ H ₅) ₂]	1970 °	1159	4.95 t	0.8
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₅)]	1961 ^e	1140	5.62 t	1.2
$[P(O)(OC_3H_5)(C_6H_5)]$			-	
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅) ₂] [P(O)(C ₆ H ₅) ₂]	1933°	1116	5.53 s	

^a Abbreviations: s, strong; ms, medium strong; N.m., not measured. ^b Measured in CS₂. ^c τ scale (TMS reference). ^d Abbreviations: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; N.m. not measured. ^e Measured in C₆H₁₂. ^f Measured in CDCl₃ at 38°. ^g Measured in CH₂Cl₂. ^h Measured as $[\pi$ -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂P(C₂H₅)₃]I in CD₃COCD₃ at 38°. ⁱ Measured in CD₃COCD₃ at 38°.

in the region 1100-1200 cm⁻¹ are assigned to P-O stretching modes on the basis of a comparison with the spectra of the corresponding derivatives π -C₅H₅Fe(CO) (Ligand)Cl and π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₂ (Ligand)L' (refs. 1 and 13). The frequencies of these modes are observed to decrease along the series π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OR)₂] (R=C₂H₅ and C₃H₅) > π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OC₃H₅)(C₆H₅)] > π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OC₃H₅)₂] π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OC₃H₅)₃][P(O)(OC₃H₅)₂] > π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂-[P(O)(C₆H₅)₂] and π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(OC₃H₅)₃][P(O)(OC₃H₅)₂] > π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(OC₃H₅)₂] (C₆H₅)₂] [P(O)(C₆H₅)₂] and to parallel a corresponding decrease in the C-O stretching frequencies. It is proposed that this decrease is as a result of the replacement of an allyloxy by a less electronegative phenyl group effecting an increase in the electron density on the phosphorus atom which is then redistributed into the P-O anti-bonding orbitals. An alternative possibility that the decrease is due to a mass (continued on p. 412)

Reactants (quantities)	Reaction solvent	Reaction temp. (°C)	Reaction time	Reaction products isolated	Crystallised from	Yield ^a
						10.2
π-C₅H₅Fe (CO) ₂ I (0.5 g, 1.6 mmole) P(C ₂ H ₅) ₃ (0.29 g, 2.4 mmole)	Benzene	80	30 min	[π-C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(C ₂ H ₅) ₃]I π-C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(C ₂ H ₅) ₃ I	Not crystallised Petroleum ether	30 30
π-C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ I (0.5 g, 1.6 mmole) P(C ₄ H ₉) ₃ (0.35 g, 1.9 mmole)	Benzene	80	10 h	π-C₅H₅Fe(CO)P(C 4H ₉) ₃ I	Petroleum ether	> 85
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ I (0.5 g, 1.6 mmole) P(OCH ₃) ₃ (0.3 g, 2.4 mmole)	Benzene	80	24 h	<i>п</i> -С ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) Р(ОСН ₃) ₃ I	Petroleum ether	70
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ I (0.5 g, 1.6 mmole) P(OC ₂ H ₅) ₃ (0.4 g, 2.4 mmole)	Benzene	80	16 h	<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OC ₂ H ₅) ₃ I	Petroleum ether	20
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ I (0.5 g, 1.6 mmole) P(OC ₆ H ₅) ₃ (0.75 g, 2.4 mmole)	Benzene	80	16 h	<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) P (OC ₆ H ₅) ₃ I	Benzene/Pet. ether	>85
π-C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (0.5 g, 2.4 mmole) P(C ₂ H ₅) ₃ (0.44 g, 3.6 mmole)	Benzene	25	15 h	[<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(C ₂ H ₅) ₃]Cl ^c	Acetone/Pet. ether ⁴	< >00
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (0.5 g, 2.4 mmole) P(C ₄ H ₉) ₃ (0.58 g, 2.8 mmole)	Benzene	25	4 days	[<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(C ₄ H ₉) ₃]Cl ^e <i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(C ₄ H ₉) ₃ Cl ^b	Acetone/Pet. ether ^d Not crystallised	65 N.m.
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ CI (1.0 g, 4.7 mmole) P(OCH ₃) ₃ (1.2 g, 9.7 mmole)	Benzenc	25	16 h	<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OCH ₃) ₃ Cl <i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OCH ₃) ₂] ^b <i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OCH ₃) ₃][P(O)(OCH ₃) ₂] ^b	Benzene/Pet. ether Not separated	30 N.m.
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (1.0 g, 4.7 mmole) P(OC ₂ H ₅) ₃ (0.94 g, 5.6 mmole)	Benzene	25	4 days	<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OC ₂ H ₅) ₂ Cl ^b <i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OC ₂ H ₅) ₂] <i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₂ H ₅) ₃][P(O)(OC ₂ H ₅) ₂] ^b	Not crystallised Benzene/Pet. ether Oil	л Х н 46 н
π -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (1.0 g, 4.7 mmole) P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₃ (1.58 g, 7.8 mmole)	Benzene	25	90 min	<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OC ₃ H ₄) ₃ Cl ^b <i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OC ₃ H ₄) ₂] <i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₃][P(O)(OC ₃ H ₅) ₂]	Not crystallised Oil Oil	50 33 50 50 33 50
<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (0.5 g, 2.4 mmole) D(OC-H-2, (1.1 g, 3.6 mmole)	Benzene	25	4 days	$[\pi - C_5 H_5 F_6 (CO)_2 P (OC_6 H_5)_3] Cr$	Acctone/Pet. ether ⁴	7

<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (1.0 g, 4.7 mmole) P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₅) (2.0 g, 9.0 mmole)	Benzene	25	1 h	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₅)Cl ^h <i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(OC ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅)] <i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₅)][P(O)(OC ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅)]	Not crystallised Oil Oil	20 35 25
π-C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (1.0 g, 4.7 mmole) P(OC ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅) ₂ (2.3 g, 9.4 mmole)	Benzene	80	3 h	<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)P (ОС ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅) ₂ C ^{Ib} <i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ [P(O)(C ₆ H ₃) ₂] <i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)[P(OC ₃ H ₃)(C ₆ H ₃) ₂][P(O)(C ₆ H ₅) ₂]	Not crystallised Benzene/Pet. ether Benzenc/Pet. ether	20 15
<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (0.5 g, 2.4 mmole) P(OCH ₃) ₃ (0.35 g, 2.8 mmole) NaB(C ₆ H ₅) ₄ (1.7 g, 5.0 mmole)	Benzene + methanol	25	5 h	[<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₃ F ₆ (CO) ₂ P(OCH ₃) ₃]B(C ₆ H ₅) ₄	Acctonc/Pet. ether	50
π-C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (0.5 g, 2.4 mmole) P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₃ (0.55 g, 2.7 mmole) NaB(C ₆ H ₅) ₄ (1.7 g, 5.0 mmole)	Benzene + methanol	25	15 h	[<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₃]B(C ₆ H ₅) ₄	Acetone/Pet, ether	50
<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (0.5 g, 2.4 mmole) P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₅) (0.63 g, 2.8 mmole) NaB(C ₆ H ₅) ₄ (1.7 g, 5.0 mmole)	Benzene + methanol	25	3 lì	[<i>π</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ P(OC ₃ H ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₅)]B(C ₆ H ₅) ₄	Acctone/Pet, ether	60
<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂ Cl (0.5 g, 2.4 mmole) P(OC ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅) ₂ (0.68 g, 2.8 mmole)	Benzene	25	30 min	[<i>x</i> -C ₅ H ₅ Fc(CO) ₂ P(OC ₃ H ₅)(C ₆ H ₅)2 [†] Cl ^c	Acctone/Pet. cther ^d	50
" Abbreviation: N.m., not measured. ^b Ide	entified by me	ans of infra	red. ^e Chare	Abbreviation: N.m., not measured. ^b Identified by means of infrared. ^c Characterised as tetraphenylborate. ^d Solvents apply to tetraphenylboron derivative.	ı derivative.	

effect was eliminated on the basis of the observation that the P–O stretching frequencies for the series of compounds π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₂[P(OR)₃][P(O)(OR)₂] (R=CH₃, C₂H₅, i-C₃H₇ and n-C₄H₉) all occur at *ca*. 1165 cm⁻¹ (ref. 13). A similar explanation to that described above may be invoked to account for the decrease in the P–O stretching frequencies from the unsubstituted to the substituted products, *e.g.* from π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OC₃H₅)₂] to π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(OC₃H₅)₃][P(O)-(OC₃H₅)₂]. The infrared spectra of the compounds of the type π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)P-(OR)₃X (X=Cl or I, R=alkyl), measured in cyclohexane, contain two C–O stretching bands. This feature is consistent with the presence of two conformers in solution as recently reported by Brown *et al.*¹².

The chemical shifts of the cyclopentadienyl proton resonances observed in the NMR spectra of a number of compounds discussed above are recorded in Table 2. In general coupling between the cyclopentadienyl protons and the phosphorus nuclei was observed and was of the order 1 Hz. The apparent absence of coupling in a few cases can be related to the broadness of the peaks. The resonances in the spectra of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(OC₃H₅)₃][P(O)(OC₃H₅)₂] and π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(OC₃H₅)₂ - (C₆H₅)][P(O)(OC₃H₅)(C₆H₅)] occur as triplets whereas those in the spectra of π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₂[P(OR)₃][P(O)(OR)₂] (R=CH₃, C₂H₅, i-C₃H₇ and n-C₄H₉) occur as 1/1/1/1 quartets¹³. It is thus apparent that for the former derivatives the two phosphorus nuclei of the non-equivalent ligands effect approximately equal coupling with the cyclopentadienyl protons.

Based on the results presented above the mechanism outlined below is proposed for the formation of π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OR)₂] and related compounds from π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl and P(OR)₃, P(OR)₂R' or P(OR)R'₂,

$$\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2 Cl + P(OR)_3 \longrightarrow [\pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2 P(OR)_3] Cl \xrightarrow{Cl^-} \pi - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2 [P(O)(OR)_2] + RCl$$

This mechanism is similar to that proposed for the formation of π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₂-[P(OR)₃][P(O)(OR)₂] from [π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃]₂ and P(OR)₃ (refs. 1 and 13) and is analogous to the mechanism for the Michaelis–Arbuzov rearrangement involving tertiary phosphites and alkyl halides¹⁴. Consistent with the proposed mechanism is the observation that the nucleophilic attack of [π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃]⁻ on [π -C₅H₅Fe-(CO)₂P(OCH₃)₃]⁺ yielded π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OCH₃)₂] and π -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃-CH₃ albeit in low yield. The major products in the latter reaction were [π -C₅H₅Mo-(CO)₃]₂ and [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂]₂ resulting from the cleavage of the Fe–P bond. No reaction was observed between π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂[P(O)(OCH₃)₂] and excess P(OCH₃)₃ in benzene under reflux over a period of two days. This suggests that π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)-[P(OR)₃][P(O)(OR)₂] is formed through { π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(OR)₃]₂}Cl as intermediate.

The results of this study together with those previously reported on the reactions of $[\pi$ -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃]₂ with tertiary phosphites, phosphonites and phosphinites^{1,13} suggest that the formation of phosphonate and related type products through intermediates of the type {M[P(OR)₃]_x (Ligand)_y}Anion (M=metal, R=alkyl) may well occur for numerous other systems.

EXPERIMENTAL

The ligands $P(OC_3H_5)_3$, $P(OC_3H_5)_2(C_6H_5)$ and $P(OC_3H_5)(C_6H_5)_2$ were synthesised by addition of three moles, two moles and one mole of allyl alcohol to one mole of PCl_3 , $P(C_6H_5)Cl_2$ and $P(C_6H_5)_2Cl$ in hexane in the presence of pyridine, respectively. π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl and π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂I were prepared according to established procedures^{15,16}. [π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂]₂ and the other ligands employed in this study were obtained commercially. All reactions and operations were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere. The chromatographic separations were effected on an alumina column (50 × 1.5 cm; Merck acid washed alumina, activity III). The infrared and NMR spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer model 21 spectrophotometer and on a Varian A60 respectively. Conductivities were determined using a Metrohm E365B conductoscope. The molecular weights were measured using a Metrohab vapour pressure osmometer. The elemental analyses (C, H, P, Cl, I and Fe) were obtained by the Alfred Bernhardt Microanalytical Laboratory, Elbach-über-Engelskirchen, West Germany and by Mr. G. J. Roberts, National Chemical Research Laboratory, C.S.I.R., Pretoria.

The experimental details for the synthesis of the derivatives isolated and characterised in this study are summarised in Table 3. Separation of the neutral products from the same reaction was effected by means of column chromatography. In general the order of elution from the column on increasing the polarity of the eluent was π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)(Ligand)Cl, followed by π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)(Ligand)L' and finally π -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂L' (L'=phosphonate, phosphinate or phosphine oxide ligand). The most convenient synthesis is reported for those derivatives which may be obtained by more than one method.

. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their gratitude to Dr. K. G. R. Pachler, National Chemical Research Laboratory, C.S.I.R., Pretoria for the measurement of the NMR spectra. Two of the authors (A. L. du Preez and I. L. Marais) thank the Industrial Development Corporation, the South African Council for Scientific and Industrial Research and the University of Pretoria for financial support.

REFERENCES

- 1 R. J. HAINES, A. L. DU PREEZ AND I. L. MARAIS, J. Organometal. Chem., 28 (1971) 97.
- 2 R. J. HAINES, A. L. DU PREEZ AND I. L. MARAIS, J. Organometal. Chem., 24 (1970) C26.
- 3 A. DAVISON, M. L. H. GREEN AND G. WILKINSON, J. Chem. Soc., (1961) 3172.
- 4 K. K. JOSHI, P. L. PAUSON AND W. L. STUBBS, J. Organometal. Chem., 1 (1963) 51.
- 5 R. B. KING, Inorg. Chem., 2 (1963) 936.
- 6 E. O. FISCHER AND E. MOSER, J. Organometal. Chem., 5 (1966) 63.
- 7 P. M. TREICHEL, R. L. SHUBKIN, K. W. BARNETT AND D. REICHARD, Inorg. Chem., 5 (1966) 1177.
- 8 H. BRUNNER, H.-D. SCHINDLER, E. SCHMIDT AND M. VOGEL, J. Organometal. Chem., 24 (1970) 515.
- 9 A. L. DU PREEZ, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Pretoria, 1968.
- 10 D. A. BROWN, H. J. LYONS, A. R. MANNING AND J. M. ROWLEY, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 3 (1969) 346.
- 11 A. N. NESMEYANOV, YU. A. CHAPOVSKY AND YU. A. USTYNYUK, J. Organometal. Chem., 9 (1967) 345.
- 12 D. A. BROWN, H. J. LYONS AND A. R. MANNING, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 4 (1970) 428.
- 13 R. J. HAINES AND C. R. NOLTE, J. Organometal. Chem., 24 (1970) 725.
- 14 R. G. HARVEY AND E. R. DE SOMBER, in M. GRAYSON AND E. J. GRIFFITH (Eds.), Topics in Phosphorus Chemistry, Vol. 1, Interscience, New York, 1964, p. 57.
- 15 T. S. PIPER, F. A. COTTON AND G. WILKINSON, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chemt., 1 (1955) 165.
- 16 T. S. PIPER AND G. WILKINSON, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 2 (1956) 38.